arXiv:0801.2668v2 [math.PR] 5 Nov 2008

Poincaré Inequality on the Path Space of Poisson Point Processes*

Feng-Yu Wang[a,b†] **and Chenggui Yuan**[b]

[a] School of Mathematical Sciences & Lab. Math. Com. Sys.,
Beijing Normal University, Beijing 100875, China

[b] Department of Mathematics, Swansea University, Singleton Park, SA2 8PP, Swansea, UK

November 5, 2008

Abstract

The quasi-invariance is proved for the distributions of Poisson point processes under a random shift map on the path space. This leads to a natural Dirichlet form of jump type on the path space. Differently from the O-U Dirichlet form on the Wiener space satisfying the log-Sobolev inequality, this Dirichlet form merely satisfies the Poincaré inequality but not the log-Sobolev one.

Key words: Poincaré inequality, path space, quasi-invariance, Dirichlet form, Poisson processes.

AMS Subject Classification: 60H10, 47G20

1 Introduction

Stochastic analysis on the path space of diffusion processes on manifolds has been intensively studied in the past 15 years, see e.g. [5] for the quasi-invariance of (Riemannian) Wiener measures and integration by parts for the Malliavin gradient, [9, 1, 12, 2] for Poincaré and log-Sobolev inequalities of the associated O-U type Dirichlet forms, and [11, 16, 10, 4] for Talagrand type transportation cost inequalities, [6, 13] for construction of the associated infinite-dimensional diffusion processes, and [7, 8] for the study of L^2-Hodge theory and the Markovian uniqueness of the Dirichlet form.

---

[*] Supported in part by WIMCS, Creative Research Group Fund of the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 10721091) and the 973-Project.

[†] Corresponding author. E-mail: wangfy@bnu.edu.cn, F.Y.Wang@swansea.ac.uk, C.Yuan@swansea.ac.uk

The purpose of this note is to start the corresponding analysis on the path space of jump processes. As a standard model, we shall consider here the Poisson process $X = \{X_t :\ 0 \leq t \leq T\}$ on $\mathbb{R}^d$ with $X_0 = 0$ and the intensity ν (cf. [15]). We assume that ν is a probability measure on $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$. The study for finite ν is equivalent to a change of the time interval $[0, T]$.

The path space of X is

$$M_T = \{\omega : [0, T] \to \mathbb{R}^d :\ \omega \text{ is right continuous having left limits}\},$$

which is a Polish space under the Skrohod metric

$$\rho(\omega, \gamma) := \inf\Big\{\delta > 0 :\ \text{there exist } n \geq 1, 0 = s_0 < \cdots < s_n = T, 0 = t_0 < \cdots < t_n = T \\ \text{such that } |t_i - s_i| \vee |\omega_T - \gamma_T| \leq \delta, \sup_{s \in [s_{i-1}, s_i), t \in [t_{i-1}, t_i)} |\omega_s - \gamma_t| \leq \delta,\ 1 \leq i \leq n\Big\}.$$

Let μ be the distribution of X, which is thus a probability measure on M_T.

Following the definition of the Malliavin gradient on the Wiener space, we need to introduce a shift operator on M_T such that μ is quasi-invariant. Intuitively, if the process jumps at time t, the path from t on should be shifted in the same scale. On the other hand, however, since in probability one the process does not jump at a fixed time, to make μ quasi-invariant the path can only be shifted at a random time. As a simple choice, we shall take below the random time an independent uniform random variable τ on $[0, T]$. Thus, the map will be

$$\psi : \omega \mapsto \omega + \xi 1_{[\tau, T]},$$

where ξ is a random variable on $\mathbb{R}^d$ with distribution ν such that $\{X, \tau, \xi\}$ are independent.

Let $\tilde{\mu}$ be the distribution of $X + \xi 1_{[\tau, T]}$, which is again a probability measure on M_T. According to Theorem 2.3 below we have

$$\tilde{\mu}(\mathrm{d}\omega) = T^{-1} N_T(\omega) \mu(\mathrm{d}\omega), \tag{1.1}$$

where under the probability measure μ,

$$N_t(\omega) := \sum_{s \leq t} 1_{\{\omega_s \neq \omega_{s-}\}}, \quad t \geq 0$$

is a Poisson process on $\mathbb{Z}_+$ with intensity δ_1 (i.e. the Dirac measure at 1). In particular, $\mu(N_T) = T$.

For two functions F, G on M_T, let

$$\Gamma_{t,x}(F, G) = \big(F(\cdot + x 1_{[t,T]}) - F(\cdot)\big)\big(G(\cdot + x 1_{[t,T]}) - G(\cdot)\big), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d, t \in [0, T].$$

Due to (1.1), the form

$$\mathscr{E}(F,G) := \frac{1}{T}\int_{M_T}\mu(\mathrm{d}\omega)\int_0^T \mathrm{d}t\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\Gamma_{t,x}(F,G)(\omega)\nu(\mathrm{d}x)$$

is well defined on

$$\mathscr{D}(\mathscr{E}) := \big\{F \in L^2(M_T;\mu):\ \mathscr{E}(F,F) < \infty\big\};$$

that is, the value of $\mathscr{E}(F,G)$ does not depend on μ-versions of F and G. The main result of the paper is the following.

Theorem 1.1. *$(\mathscr{E},\mathscr{D}(\mathscr{E}))$ is a symmetric, conservative Dirichlet from on $L^2(M_T;\mu)$ and the Poincaré inequality*

$$\mu(F^2) \le T\mathscr{E}(F,F) + \mu(F)^2, \quad F \in \mathscr{D}(\mathscr{E}) \tag{1.2}$$

holds. But for any $C > 0$ the log-Sobolev inequality

$$\mu(F^2\log F^2) \le C\mathscr{E}(F,F), \quad F \in \mathscr{D}(\mathscr{E}), \mu(F^2) = 1 \tag{1.3}$$

does not hold.

This result will be proved in the next two sections: (1.1) will be proved in Section 2 while the remainder, i.e. the proof of (1.2) and the disproof of (1.3), will be addressed in Section 3.

2 The Dirichlet form and generator

The main purpose of this section is to prove (1.1). We shall first prove it for Markov chains on $\mathbb{Z}^d$ then extend to Poisson processes by an approximation argument.

For any $k \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, let

$$N_T^{(k)}(\omega) = \sum_{t\le T} 1_{\{\omega_t - \omega_{t-} = k\}}, \quad \omega \in M_T.$$

Lemma 2.1. *Let ν be supported on $\mathbb{Z}^d$. Then for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ such that $\nu(k) > 0$, the distribution μ_k of $X + k1_{[\tau,T]}$ is absolutely continuous with respect to μ with*

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\mu_k}{\mathrm{d}\mu}(\omega) = \frac{1}{T\nu(k)}N_T^{(k)}(\omega). \tag{2.1}$$

Consequently, (1.1) holds and $(\mathscr{E},\mathscr{D}(\mathscr{E}))$ is a well-defined Dirichlet form on $L^2(M_T;\mu)$.

Proof. We shall only prove (2.1) since the proof of the remainder is simple and standard. In the present framework X is a shift-invariant conservative Markov chain on $\mathbb{Z}^d$ with the Q-matrix $(q_{ij})_{i,j\in\mathbb{Z}^d}$ given by (cf. [3])

$$q_{ij} = \begin{cases} \nu(i-j), & \text{if } i \neq j, \\ -\sum_{k\neq i} \nu(k-i), & \text{if } i = j. \end{cases}$$

Let $\{p_t(l) : \ l \in \mathbb{Z}^d\}$ be the distribution of X_t. On any finite set K there exist a constant $C > 0$ and a positive continuous function h on $[0, 1]$ with $h(s) \downarrow 0$ as $s \downarrow 0$ such that

$$|p_s(0) - 1| \leq Cs, \quad |p_s(l) - s\nu(l)| \leq h(s)s, \quad l \in K \setminus \{0\}, s \in [0, 1]. \tag{2.2}$$

Let F be a bounded cylindrical function on M_T depending only on coordinates at $0 < s_1 < \cdots < s_m \leq T$. It suffices to show that

$$\mathbb{E}F(X + k1_{[\tau,T]}) = \frac{1}{T\nu(k)}\mathbb{E}[F(X)N_T^{(k)}]. \tag{2.3}$$

By first replacing $\nu(k)$ by $\nu_\varepsilon(k) := (\nu(k) + \varepsilon 2^{-|k|})/(1 + \varepsilon \sum_k 2^{-|k|})$ then letting $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$, we may and do assume that $\nu(k) > 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}^d$.

To prove (2.3), let $I_n = \{0 = t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_n = T\} \supset \{s_1, \cdots, s_m\}$ be a sequence of partitions such that $\delta(I_n) := \max_{1\leq i\leq n}(t_i - t_{i-1}) \downarrow 0$. Let $\|\omega\|_n = \sup\{|\omega_{t_i}| : \ t_i \in I_n\}$. Let f be a bounded measurable function on $(\mathbb{Z}^d)^n$ such that

$$F(\omega) = f(\omega_{t_1}, \cdots, \omega_{t_n}), \quad \omega \in M_T.$$

Let $l_0 = 0$. For any $R > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} U_R &:= \mathbb{E}\big[1_{\{\|X\|_n\leq R\}}F(X + k1_{[\tau,T]})\big] = \frac{1}{T}\int_0^T \mathbb{E}\big[1_{\{\|X\|_n\leq R\}}F(X + k1_{[t,T]})\big]\mathrm{d}t \\ &= \frac{1}{T}\sum_{i=1}^n (t_i - t_{i-1})\mathbb{E}\big[1_{\{\|X\|_n\leq R\}}F(X + k1_{[t_i,T]})\big] \\ &= \frac{1}{T}\sum_{i=1}^n (t_i - t_{i-1})\mathbb{E}\big[1_{\{\|X\|_n\leq R\}}f(X_{t_1}, \cdots, X_{t_{i-1}}, X_{t_i} + k, \cdots, X_{t_n} + k)\big] \\ &= \frac{1}{T}\sum_{|l_1|,\cdots,|l_n|\leq R}\sum_{i=1}^n (t_i - t_{i-1})f(l_1, \cdots, l_{i-1}, l_i + k, \cdots, l_n + k)\prod_{j=1}^n p_{t_j-t_{j-1}}(l_j - l_{j-1}). \end{aligned}$$

By changing variables $(l_1, \cdots, l_n) \mapsto (l_1, \cdots, l_{i-1}, l_i - k, \cdots, l_n - k)$, and letting

$$K_{R,k,i} = \big\{(l_1, \cdots, L_n) \in (\mathbb{Z}^d)^n : |l_j| \leq R \text{ for } j \leq i-1, |l_j - k| \leq R \text{ for } j \geq i\big\},$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} U_R &= \frac{1}{T}\sum_{i=1}^n (t_i - t_{i-1}) \sum_{(l_1,\cdots l_n)\in K_{R,k,i}} f(l_1,l_2,\cdots,l_n)\frac{p_{t_i-t_{i-1}}(l_i-l_{i-1}-k)}{p_{t_i-t_{i-1}}(l_i-l_{i-1})}\prod_{j=1}^n p_{t_j-t_{j-1}}(l_j-l_{j-1}) \\ &= \frac{1}{T}\sum_{i=1}^n (t_i-t_{i-1})\mathbb{E}\Big[1_{K_{R,k,i}}(X_{t_1},\cdots,X_{t_n})F(X)\frac{p_{t_i-t_{i-1}}(X_{t_i}-X_{t_{i-1}}-k)}{p_{t_i-t_{i-1}}(X_{t_i}-X_{t_{i-1}})}\Big]. \end{aligned}$$

By this and (2.2), for small enough $\delta(I_n)$ such that (note that we have assumed that $\nu(l) > 0$ for all $l \in \mathbb{Z}^d$)

$$\min\{\nu(l) : |l| \le k + 2R\} - Ch \circ \delta(I_n) > 0,$$

where C and h are defined in (2.2) for $K = \{l \in \mathbb{Z}^d : \ |l| \le k + 2R\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\Big|U_R - \frac{1}{T}\sum_{i=1}^n (t_i-t_{i-1})\mathbb{E}\Big[1_{K_{R,k,i}}(X_{t_1},\cdots,X_{t_n})F(X)1_{\{X_{t_i}-X_{t_{i-1}}=k\}}\frac{p_{t_i-t_{i-1}}(0)}{p_{t_i-t_{i-1}}(k)}\Big]\Big| \\ &\le \frac{\|F\|_\infty}{T}\sum_{i=1}^n (t_i-t_{i-1})\frac{\max\{\nu(l) : \ |l| \le |k|+2R\} + h\circ\delta(I_n)}{\min\{\nu(l) : |l| \le k+2R\} - h\circ\delta(I_n)}\mathbb{P}\big(X_{t_i}-X_{t_{i-1}} \notin \{k,0\}\big) \\ &\quad + \frac{\|F\|_\infty \delta(I_n)[\nu(k)+Ch\circ\delta(I_n)]}{T(1-C\delta(I_n))}\sum_{i=1}^n (t_i-t_{i-1})\mathbb{P}(X_{t_i} = X_{t_{i-1}}) \\ &\le \frac{C'\|F\|_\infty\delta(I_n)}{T}\mathbb{E}N_T + \frac{\|F\|_\infty\delta(I_n)[(\nu(k)+Ch\circ\delta(I_n)]}{1-C\delta(I_n)} \end{aligned}$$

for some $C' > 0$ depending only on ν and $|k| + 2R$. Here, we have used the fact that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{P}(X_{t_i} \ne X_{t_{i-1}}) \le \mathbb{E}\sum_{i=1}^n 1_{\{X_{t_i}\ne X_{t_{i-1}}\}} \le \mathbb{E}N_T.$$

Letting $n \to \infty$ and using (2.2) again, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} U_R &= \lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{T}\sum_{i=1}^n (t_i-t_{i-1})\mathbb{E}\Big[1_{K_{R,k,i}}(X_{t_1},\cdots,X_{t_n})F(X)1_{\{X_{t_i}-X_{t_{i-1}}=k\}}\frac{p_{t_i-t_{i-1}}(0)}{p_{t_i-t_{i-1}}(k)}\Big] \\ &= \frac{1}{T\nu(k)}\mathbb{E}\big[F(X)N_T^{(k)}1_{\{\|X-k1_{[\tau,T]}\|_\infty \le R\}}\big], \end{aligned}$$

where $\|\omega\|_\infty := \sup_{t\le T}|\omega_t|$. Letting $R \to \infty$ we complete the proof. □

To identify the generator of $(\mathscr{E}, \mathscr{D}(\mathscr{E}))$, for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ let $\pi_k(\cdot, \mathrm{d}t)$ be the regular conditional distribution of τ given $X + k1_{[\tau,T]}$.

Lemma 2.2. *If ν is supported on $\mathbb{Z}^d$ then the generator $(L, \mathscr{D}(L))$ of $(\mathscr{E}, \mathscr{D}(\mathscr{E}))$ satisfies $\mathscr{D}(L) \supset \mathscr{B}_b(M_T)$, the class of all bounded measurable functions on M_T, and*

$$\begin{aligned} LF(\omega) = & \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d} \frac{\nu(k)}{T} \int_0^T \Big(F(\omega + k1_{[t,T]}) - F(\omega)\Big)\mathrm{d}t \\ & + \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d} \frac{N_T^{(k)}(\omega)}{T} \int_0^T \Big(F(\omega - k1_{[t,T]}) - F(\omega)\Big)\pi_k(\omega, \mathrm{d}t), \quad F \in \mathscr{B}_b(M_T). \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

Proof. Let $F, G \in \mathscr{B}_b(M_T)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} -\mathscr{E}(F,G) = & \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d} \frac{\nu(k)}{T} \int_0^T \mathrm{d}t \int_{M_T} G(\omega)\big(F(\omega + k1_{[t,T]}) - F(\omega)\big)\mu(\mathrm{d}\omega) \\ & + \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d} \nu(k)\mathbb{E}\Big[G(X + k1_{[\tau,T]})\big(F(X) - F(X + k1_{[\tau,T]})\big)\Big] \\ =: & \, A_1 + A_2. \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

By the definition of π_k, we have

$$\begin{aligned} A_2 &= \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d} \nu(k) \int_{M_T} G(\omega)\mu_k(\mathrm{d}\omega) \int_0^T \big(F(\omega - k1_{[t,T]}) - F(\omega)\big)\pi_k(\omega, \mathrm{d}t) \\ &= \int_{M_T} G(\omega)\Big\{\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d} \frac{N_T^{(k)}(\omega)}{T}\Big(\int_0^T F(\omega - k1_{[t,T]})\pi_k(\omega, \mathrm{d}t) - F(\omega)\Big)\mu(\mathrm{d}\omega). \end{aligned}$$

Combining this with (2.5), we arrive at

$$-\mathscr{E}(F,G) = \int_{M_T} G(\omega) LF(\omega)\mu(\mathrm{d}\omega). \tag{2.6}$$

This completes the proof. □

The formula (2.4) indicates the transition rate of the associated jump process on M_T; that is, the process jumps from a state ω to $\omega + k1_{[t,T]}$ with rate $T^{-1}\nu(k)\mathrm{d}t$ while to $\omega - k_{[t,T]}$ with rate $T^{-1}N_T^{(k)}(\omega)\pi_k(\omega, \mathrm{d}t)$.

In order to extend these results for general ν, we make use of an approximation procedure. To this end, let us first recall a standard construction of the Poisson process. Let $\mathscr{N}_t$ be the standard Poisson process on $\mathbb{Z}_+$ with intensity δ_1 (i.e. the Dirac measure at 1). Let τ_i be the i-th jump time of $\mathscr{N}_t$, i.e.

$$\tau_1=\inf\{t\geq 0:\mathscr{N}_t>\mathscr{N}_{t-}\},\quad \tau_i=\inf\{t\geq \tau_{i-1}:\ \mathscr{N}_t>\mathscr{N}_{t-}\}, i\geq 2.$$

Let $\{\xi_i\}_{i\geq 1}$ be i.i.d. sequence with distribution ν which are independent of $\mathscr{N}$. Then

$$X_t:=\sum_{\tau_i\leq t}\xi_i,\quad t\geq 0 \tag{2.7}$$

is a Poisson process on $\mathbb{R}^d$ with intensity ν.

Now, for any $n\geq 1$, let ν_n be the probability measure on $2^{-n}\mathbb{Z}^d$ with

$$\nu_n(2^{-n}k)=\nu(D_{n,k}),\quad D_{n,k}:=\big\{x\in\mathbb{R}^d: x_i\in[2^{-n}k_i,2^{-n}(k_i+1)), 1\leq i\leq d\big\},\quad k\in\mathbb{Z}^d.$$

Let

$$\xi_i^{(n)}=\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^d}2^{-n}k1_{D_{n,k}}(\xi_i),\quad i\geq 1.$$

Let $\xi^{(n)}$ be determined by ξ in the same way. Then

$$X_t^{(n)}:=\sum_{\tau_i\leq t}\xi_i^{(n)},\quad t\geq 0$$

is a Poisson process with intensity ν_n. By Lemma 2.1 we have

$$\mathbb{E}F(X^{(n)}+\xi^{(n)}1_{[\tau,T]})=\frac{1}{T}\mathbb{E}\big[F(X^{(n)})N_T\big]$$

for $F\in C_b(M_T)$. Letting $n\to\infty$ and using the dominated convergence theorem, we prove (1.1). The formula (2.6) can be confirmed in the same way for $F,G\in C_b(M_T)$ and hence, also for $F,G\in\mathscr{B}_b(M_T)$ by the monotone class theorem. Therefore, we have proved the following result.

Theorem 2.3. *μ is quasi-invariant under the map $\omega\mapsto\xi 1_{[\tau,T]}$ such that (1.1) holds. Consequently, $(\mathscr{E},\mathscr{D}(\mathscr{E}))$ is a conservative symmetric Dirichlet form on $L^2(M_T;\mu)$, and the generator $(L,\mathscr{D}(L))$ with $\mathscr{D}(L)\supset\mathscr{B}_b(M_T)$ is given by (2.4).*

3 The Poincaré and log-Sobolev inequalities

We first prove (1.2). By the monotone class theorem and the fact that $\mathscr{B}_b(M_T)$ is dense in $\mathscr{D}(\mathscr{E})$, it suffices to prove for the calss $\mathscr{F}C_b$ of bounded cylindrical functions.

$$\mu(F^2)\leq\mu_2(\Gamma(F,F))+\mu(F)^2,\quad F\in\mathscr{F}C_b. \tag{3.1}$$

Let $\mathbb{E}^z$ be the expectation taking for the the Poisson process X starting at $z \in \mathbb{R}^d$. By taking $z = 0$ (3.1) follows from

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}^z f^2(X_{t_1}, \cdots, X_{t_n}) - \big(\mathbb{E}^z f(X_{t_1}, \cdots, X_{t_n})\big)^2 \\
&\le \mathbb{E}^z \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sum_{i=1}^n (t_i - t_{i-1}) \big[f(X_{t_1}, \cdots, X_{t_n}) \\
&\qquad - f(X_{t_1}, \cdots, X_{t_{i-1}}, X_{t_i} + x, \cdots, X_{t_n} + x)\big]^2 \nu(\mathrm{d}x),\ \mathbb{Z} \in \mathbb{R}^d
\end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

for $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_n \le T$ and $f \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We shall prove this inequality by iterating in n.

(1) Let $n = 1$ and $t_1 = t \in (0, T]$. Then (3.2) reduces to

$$\mathbb{E}^z f^2(X_t) \le \big(\mathbb{E}^z f(X_t)\big)^2 + t\mathbb{E}^z \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \big(f(X_t + x) - f(X_t)\big)^2 \nu(\mathrm{d}x). \tag{3.3}$$

Recall that the generator of X_t is (cf. [15])

$$L_0 f(z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} (f(z+x) - f(z))\nu(\mathrm{d}x).$$

So the associated square field is

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_0(f,g)(z) &:= \big\{L_0(fg) - fL_0 g - gL_0 f\big\}(z) \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}} (f(z+x) - f(z))(g(z+x) - g(z))\nu(\mathrm{d}x).
\end{aligned}$$

Let $P_t^0 f(z) = \mathbb{E}^z f(X_t)$ be the corresponding Markov semigroup. We have

$$P_t^0 f^2(z) - (P_t^0 f(z))^2 = \int_0^t \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}s} P_s^0 (P_{t-s}^0 f)^2(z)\mathrm{d}s = \int_0^t P_s^0 \Gamma_0(P_{t-s}^0 f, P_{t-s}^0 f)(z)\mathrm{d}s. \tag{3.4}$$

Since

$$\mathbb{E}^z f(X_s + x) = \mathbb{E}^{z+x} f(X_s), \quad s \ge 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_0(P_{t-s}^0 f, P_{t-s}^0 f))(z) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \big(\mathbb{E}^z[f(X_{t-s} + x) - f(X_{t-s})]\big)^2 \nu(\mathrm{d}x) \\
&\le \mathbb{E}^z \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (f(X_{t-s} + x) - f(X_{t-s}))^2 \nu(\mathrm{d}x) = P_{t-s}\Gamma_0(f,f)(z).
\end{aligned}$$

Then (3.4) yields

$$P_t^0 f^2(z) - (P_t^0 f(z))^2 \leq \int_0^t P_s^0 P_{t-s}^0 \Gamma_0(f,f)(z)\mathrm{d}s = tP_t^0\Gamma_0(f,f)(z).$$

Thus, (3.3) holds.

(2) Assume that (3.2) holds for $n = k$, it remains to prove it for $n = k+1$. Let

$$g(z) = \mathbb{E}^z f(z, X_{t_2-t_1}, \cdots, X_{t_{k+1}-t_1}), \quad \mathbb{Z} \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

By the assumption we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}^z f^2(X_0, X_{t_2-t_1}, \cdots, X_{t_{k+1}-t_1}) - g(z)^2 \\
&\leq \mathbb{E}^z \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sum_{i=2}^{k+1} (t_i - t_{i-1}) \big[f(X_0, X_{t_2-t_1}, \cdots, X_{t_{k+1}-t_1}) \\
&\qquad - f(X_0, X_{t_2-t_1}, \cdots, X_{t_{i-1}-t_1}, X_{t_i-t_1}+x, \cdots, X_{t_{k+1}-t_1}+x) \big]^2 \nu(\mathrm{d}x), \quad z \in \mathbb{R}^d.
\end{aligned}$$

Combining this with (3.3) and using the Markov property, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}^z f^2(X_{t_1}, \cdots, X_{t_{k+1}}) = \mathbb{E}^z \mathbb{E}^{X_{t_1}} f^2(X_0, X_{t_2-t_1}, \cdots, X_{t_{k+1}-t_1}) \\
&\leq \mathbb{E}^z g^2(X_{t_1}) + \mathbb{E}^z \mathbb{E}^{X_{t_1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sum_{i=2}^{k+1} (t_i - t_{i-1}) \big[f(X_0, X_{t_2-t_1}, \cdots, X_{t_{k+1}-t_1}) \\
&\qquad - f(X_0, X_{t_2-t_1}, \cdots, X_{t_{i-1}-t_1}, X_{t_i-t_1}+x, \cdots, X_{t_{k+1}-t_1}+x) \big]^2 \nu(\mathrm{d}x) \\
&\leq (\mathbb{E}^z g(X_{t_1}))^2 + t_1 \mathbb{E}^z \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (g(X_{t_1}+x) - g(X_{t_1}))^2 \nu(\mathrm{d}x) \\
&\quad + \mathbb{E}^z \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sum_{i=2}^{k+1} (t_i - t_{i-1}) \big[f(X_{t_1}, \cdots, X_{t_{k+1}}) \\
&\qquad - f(X_{t_1}, X_{t_2}, \cdots, X_{t_{i-1}}, X_{t_i}+x, \cdots, X_{t_{k+1}}+x) \big]^2 \nu(\mathrm{d}x) \\
&= \big(\mathbb{E}^z f(X_{t_1}, \cdots, X_{t_{k+1}})\big)^2 + \mathbb{E}^z \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} (t_i - t_{i-1}) \big[f(X_{t_1}, \cdots, X_{t_{k+1}}) \\
&\qquad - f(X_{t_1}, X_{t_2}, \cdots, X_{t_{i-1}}, X_{t_i}+x, \cdots, X_{t_{k+1}}+x) \big]^2 \nu(\mathrm{d}x).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, (3.2) holds for $n = k+1$.

Finally, we intend to disprove the log-Sobolev inequality for any $C > 0$. Let $\mu(F^2) = 1$. Noting that

$$\mathscr{E}(F,F) = \mathbb{E}(F(X + \xi 1_{[\tau,T]}) - F(X))^2 \leq 2\mathbb{E}F(X + \xi 1_{[\tau,T]})^2 + 2\mathbb{E}F(X)^2,$$

it follows from the definition of $\mu, \tilde{\mu}$ and the formula (1.1) that

$$\mathscr{E}(F,F) \leq 2\mu(F^2) + \frac{2}{T}\mu(N_T F^2) \leq 2 + \lambda^{-1}\mu(F^2\log F^2) + \lambda^{-1}\log\mu(\mathrm{e}^{\lambda N_T}).$$

Noting that under μ N_T is a Poisson random variable with intensity T, we conclude that $\mu(\mathrm{e}^{\lambda N_T}) < \infty$ for all $\lambda > 0$. Thus, for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $C(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that

$$\mathscr{E}(F,F) \leq C(\varepsilon) + \varepsilon\mu(F^2\log F^2), \quad \mu(F^2) = 1.$$

Therefore, if (1.3) holds for some $C > 0$, then there exists $C' > 0$ such that

$$\mu(F^2\log F^2) \leq C', \quad \mu(F^2) = 1.$$

This is wrong since the support of μ is not a finite set.

References

[1] S. Aida and D. Elworthy, *Differential calculus on path and loop spaces, I. log-Sobolev inequalities on path spaces,* C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér I Math. 321 (1995), 97–102.

[2] M. Capitaine, E. P. Hsu and M. Ledoux, *Martingale representation and a simple proof of logarithmic Sobolev inequalities on path spaces,* Electron. Comm. Probab. 2(1997), 71–81.

[3] M.-F. Chen, *From Markov Chains to Non-Equilibrium Particle Systems,* World Scientific, Singapore, 1992; Second Edition 2004.

[4] H. Djellout, A. Guillin, and L.-M. Wu, *Transportation cost-information inequalities and applications to random dynamical systems and diffusions*, Ann. Probab. 32 (2004), 2702–2732.

[5] B. K. Driver, *A Cameron-Martin quasi-invariance theorem for Brownian motion on a compact Riemannian manifolds,* J. Funct. Anal. 110(1992), 273-376.

[6] B. K. Driver and M. Röckner, *Construction of diffusions on path and loop spaces of compact Riemannian manifolds,* C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Series I 315(1992), 603-608.

[7] D. Elworthy and X.-M. Li, In "Stochastic Processes, Physics and Geometry: New Interplays, I (Leip ig, 1999)", 145–162, CMS Conf. Proc. 28, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2000.

[8] D. Elworthy and X.-M. Li, *Itô maps and analysis on path spaces,* Math. Zeit. 257(2007), 643–706.

[9] S. Fang, *Inégalité du type de Poincar'e sur l'espace des chemins riemanniens [Poincaré-type inequality on a Riemannian path space],* C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Série I 318(1994), 257–260.

[10] S. Fang and F.-Y. Wang, *Analysis on free Riemannian path spaces,* Bull. Sci. Math. 129(2005),339–355.

[11] D. Feyel and A. Üstünel, *Measure transport on Wiener space and the Girsanov theorem,* C.R. Acad. Paris 334(2002), 1025–1028.

[12] E. P. Hsu, *Logarithmic Sobolev inequalities on path spaces over Riemannian manifolds,* Comm. Math. Phys. 189(1997), 9–16.

[13] J.-U. Löbus, *A class of processes on the path space over a compact Riemannian manifold with unbounded diffusion,* Tran. Ame. Math. Soc. (2004), 1-17.

[14] P. Malliavin, *Stochastic Analysis,* Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1997.

[15] B. Øksendal and A. Sulem, *Applied Stochastic Control of Jump Diffusions*, Springer, (2005).

[16] F.-Y. Wang, *Probability distance inequalities on Riemannian manifolds and path spaces,* J. Funct. Anal. 206 (2004), 167-190.